



Regulatory Framework for Fintech and Investor Protection

Rob Colquhoun

Friday, 2 November 2018

www.afma.com.au

Australia's Fintech Industry

- Australia's Fintech Industry in its third year
- Greater proportion achieved profitability in 2018
 - 19% profitable, up from 14% in prior year
 - Median revenue growth in last year of 125%
- Types of business varies
 - 24% payments/digital wallets
 - 23% wealth and investment
 - 21% data analytics
- Average capital raised of \$4.5M
- Key export markets – UK, US, Singapore, HK



Regulatory Opportunities for Fintech

- Open Banking
 - Commencing in 2019
 - Consumer data held by major banks will be accessible to Fintech Participants
 - Subject to consent from the consumer
- Royal Commission into Financial Services
 - Threatens the incumbency of the existing providers
 - Opportunities for new participants
 - But – may overshadow policy innovation
- Equity crowdfunding
 - Small companies (less \$25M in assets)
 - Raise up to \$5M per annum



Regulatory Architecture

- Generally, companies that provide a “financial service” need to hold a licence
 - ASIC (Securities Regulator) – financial services, credit or market infrastructure
 - APRA (Prudential Regulator) – banking licence
- Existing licencing exemptions
 - Spot foreign exchange
 - Some funds transfers
- ASIC Regulatory Sandbox
 - Allows Fintechs to test their products and services prior to obtaining a licence



Why Have Regulatory Sandbox?

- ASIC has identified three key barriers to successful Fintechs
 - Speed to market, obtaining licence is a barrier
 - Organisational competence, having a responsible manager (licence condition) is a barrier
 - Access to capital, with proof of concept being the barrier
- ASIC view is that the regulatory sandbox environment addresses these barriers
 - Allows concepts to be validated and refined
 - Provides increased opportunities for investment
 - Allows markets to be fair and efficient



How does the Sandbox Work?

- Conditional relief to allow Fintechs to test certain products/services for without a licence
 - Available for new businesses only
 - Relief expires after 12 months
 - Certain prohibitions (previously banned, licence holders)
- Only certain products are covered
 - Deposit/loan products (subject to certain criteria)
 - Payment products issued by an ADI
 - General insurance
 - Liquid investment products
- No formal application – only notification



Investor Protection

- Certain criteria included to protect investors
 - Limit of 100 retail clients
 - Caps on exposure per client
 - \$10,000 for most products
 - \$25,000 for loans
 - \$50,000 for general insurance
 - Total exposure for all clients (including wholesale) capped at \$5M
 - Requirement to notify clients that don't hold a licence
 - Adequate compensation arrangements
 - Usually through PI insurance
 - Dispute resolution arrangements



Is the Balance Right?

- Australia is pro-active in supporting Fintech firms from a policy perspective
- Lack of approval process heightens investor risk
 - Different to Singapore and Hong Kong
- Compensation and dispute resolution arrangements helpful
- Other mechanisms about mitigation, not protection
- However, take up has been low
 - Currently only three firms, and six in total
- Fintechs are looking for more flexibility
 - Further erosion of investor protections?
- Global Developments

